arXiv:1409.7145v1 [math.DG] 25 Sep 2014

FIRST EIGENVALUE FOR $p-$LAPLACIAN WITH MIXED BOUNDARY CONDITIONS ON MANIFOLDS

KUI WANG

Abstract. In this paper, we mainly study eigenvalue problems of p-Laplacian on domains with an interior hole. Firstly we prove Faber-Krahn-type inequalities, and Cheng-type eigenvalue comparison theorems on manifolds. Secondly, we prove a comparison theorem for eigenvalues with inner Dirichlet and outer Neumann boundary in minimal submanifolds in Euclidean space. Lastly we give a sharp estimate of the eigenvalue (with outer Dirichlet and inner Neumann boundaries) in terms of the Dircihlet eigenvalue, and also we give an upper bound of the eigenvalue with inner Dirichlet and outer Neumann problems by the diameter of the hole inside.

1. Introduction and main results

Let D_1 and D_2 be bounded domains in a Riemannian manifold M^n and assume that $D_1 \subset\subset D_2$. Let

$$\Omega = D_2 \setminus D_1 = D_2 \bigcap D_1^c.$$

Where D_1^c denotes the complement of D_1. We refer ∂D_1 and ∂D_2 as inner boundary and outer boundary of Ω respectively. For the sake of convenience, we always assume that both ∂D_1 and ∂D_2 are smooth. In this paper, we mainly consider the following two eigenvalue problems of p-Laplacian ($1 < p < +\infty$):

Problem 1.1 (Inner Neumann boundary and outer Dirichlet boundary)**.**

$$\lambda_p(\Omega) = \inf\{\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_\Omega |u|^p dx} : u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ with } u|_{\partial D_2} = 0 \text{ and } u \neq 0\}. \tag{1.1}$$

Problem 1.2 (Inner Dirichlet boundary and outer Neumann boundary)**.**

$$\mu_p(\Omega) = \inf\{\frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_\Omega |u|^p dx} : u \in W^{1,p}(\Omega) \text{ with } u|_{\partial D_1} = 0 \text{ and } u \neq 0\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Where $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ denotes Sobolev space $\{u : \int_\Omega |u|^p dx < +\infty, \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx < +\infty\}$.

It has been shown (see e.g. [3, 4, 7, 15]) that there exists an unique (up to a multiple) minimizer u that solves the Problem 1.1. Consequently $\lambda_p > 0$. Moreover one can show (see for example Section 6.5 in [4]) that u does not change sign in Ω and u satisfies the following PDE in the weak sense

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda_p |u|^{p-2} u. \tag{1.3}$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 53C44, Secondary 52A40.

Key words and phrases. Eigenvalue, p-Laplacian, Comparison theorems, Mixed boundary conditions.

The author was sponsored by the China Scholarship Council for two year study at University of California, San Diego.

That means for any $\phi \in C^\infty(\Omega)$ with $\phi = 0$ in ∂D_2, it follows that

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle \nabla \phi, \nabla u\rangle dx = \lambda_p \int_\Omega |u|^{p-2} u\phi dx.$$

For regularity results, we see from [12, 14] that $u(x) \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for some $\alpha \in (0,1)$. Furthermore $u(x)$ satisfies boundary conditions $u(x) = 0$ on ∂D_2, and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} u = 0$ on ∂D_1. Where ν denotes the normal vector field. Throughout the paper, We call u the normalized eigenfunction if it is positive in Ω and satisfies $\int_\Omega |u|^p dx = 1$.

Similarly, it is also true that μ_p is simple and positive. The corresponding normalized minimizer $v(x) \in C^{1,\alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ and satisfies

$$-\operatorname{div}(|\nabla v|^{p-2}\nabla v) = \mu_p |v|^{p-2} v, \tag{1.4}$$

in the weak sense with Dirichlet boundary ∂D_1 and Neumann boundary ∂D_2.

In this paper, we would like to establish some estimates for λ_p and μ_p. If D_1 is empty, there have been several well-known results, such as the Faber-Krahn-type inequality and Cheng-type inequality [1, 10, 9]. Our main goal here is to give analogue inequalities for p-Laplacian when D_1 is non-empty. More precisely, We show the following theorems.

Theorem 1.3 (A Faber-Krahn-type inequality on $\mathbb{R}^n$)**.** *Let $D_1 \subset\subset D_2$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $p > 1$. Then we have*

$$\lambda_p(\Omega) \geq \lambda_p(D_2^* \setminus D_1^*), \tag{1.5}$$

and

$$\mu_p(\Omega) \geq \mu_p(D_2^* \setminus D_1^*). \tag{1.6}$$

Here D_1^* and D_2^* are the round balls in $\mathbb{R}^n$ centered at the origin with volume equal to that of D_1 and D_2 respectively. The equality holds if only if Ω is isometric to $D_2^* \setminus D_1^*$.

Remark 1.4. Analogue results of (1.5) and (1.6) can be proved similarly as Theorem 1.3 in space form M_κ^n with constant curvature κ (hyperbolic space for $\kappa < 0$ and Euclidean sphere for $\kappa > 0$).

Theorem 1.5 (A Faber-Krahn-type inequality on positive manifolds)**.** *Let (M^n, g) be a Riemannian manifold. Assume that the Ricci curvature Ric_M of (M^n, g) is bounded from below by $n-1$. Denote by $\mathbb{S}^n$ the n-dimensional unit round sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Let $\beta = \frac{Vol(\mathbb{S}^n)}{Vol(M)}$. Then for any $p > 1$,*

$$\lambda_p(\Omega) \geq \lambda_p(\widetilde{D}_2 \setminus \widetilde{D}_1), \tag{1.7}$$

and

$$\mu_p(\Omega) \geq \mu_p(\widetilde{D}_2 \setminus \widetilde{D}_1). \tag{1.8}$$

Here $\widetilde{D}_1$ and $\widetilde{D}_2$ are geodesic balls in $\mathbb{S}^n$ with volume equal to $\beta\, Vol(D_1)$ and $\beta\, Vol(D_2)$ respectively.

Remark 1.6. We mention here that if $M = \mathbb{S}^n$, Theorem 1.5 gives (1.5) and (1.6) in the sphere case.

Theorem 1.7 (A Cheng-type inequality)**.** *Suppose (M^n, g) is a complete Riemannian manifold. Let $0 < r_1 < r_2$. Assume that $B(o, r_2)$ in M^n is an injective ball of radius r_2.*
(1) If on $B(o, r_2)$ the Ricci curvature of (M, g) is bounded from below by $(n-1)\kappa$. Then

$$\lambda_p(B(o,r_2) \setminus B(o,r_1)) \leq \lambda_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)), \tag{1.9}$$

and

$$\mu_p(B(o,r_2) \setminus B(o,r_1)) \geq \mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)). \tag{1.10}$$

(2) If on $B(o, r_2)$ the sectional curvature of (M, g) is bounded from above by κ, we have

$$\lambda_p(B(o,r_2) \setminus B(o,r_1)) \geq \lambda_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)), \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$\mu_p(B(o,r_2) \setminus B(o,r_1)) \leq \mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)). \tag{1.12}$$

Here $\overline{B}(\bar{o}, r)$ is a ball of radius r centered at $\bar{o}$ in the space form M^n_κ with constant curvature κ.

For Laplace operator (namely $p = 2$), we have the following comparison theorem on minimal manifolds. This type comparison inequality was firstly proved in [2].

Theorem 1.8 (A comparison inequality on minimal manifolds)**.** *Let M^n be a minimally immersed submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n+l}$, $l > 1$. Then for $o \in M$ and $0 < r_1 < r_2$,*

$$\mu_2(D(o,r_2) \setminus D(o,r_1)) \geq \mu_2(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)). \tag{1.13}$$

Here $D(o, r) = B^{n+l}(o, r) \bigcap M$, and $B^{n+l}(o, r)$ denotes the $n+l$-dimensional round ball with center o and radius r in $\mathbb{R}^{n+l}$, and $\overline{B}(\bar{o}, r)$ is a ball of radius r in $\mathbb{R}^n$. The equality holds if only if $D(o, r_2) \setminus D(o, r_1)$ is isometric to $\overline{B}(\bar{o}, r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(\bar{o}, r_1)$.

We recall that the standard Dirichlet eigenvalue of p-Laplacian is defined as

$$\lambda_{p,0}(D_2) = \inf\{\frac{\int_{D_2} |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_{D_2} |u|^p dx} : u \in W^{1,p}(D_2) \text{ with } u|_{\partial D_2} = 0 \text{ and } u \neq 0\}. \tag{1.14}$$

For $\lambda_{p,0}(D_2)$ and $\lambda_p(D_2 \setminus D_1)$, we have the following estimate.

Theorem 1.9. *Let $D_\epsilon \subset\subset D_2$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$.*
(1) Assume that the volume of D_ϵ goes to vanishing as $\epsilon \to 0$. Then we have the following sharp estimate

$$\lambda_p(D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon) \leq \lambda_{p,0}(D_2) + C\, Vol(D_\epsilon), \tag{1.15}$$

where C is a constant independent of ϵ.
(2) Assume further that D_ϵ is star-shaped and the diameter of D_ϵ goes to vanishing as $\epsilon \to 0$. Then there exists a constant C independent of ϵ such that

$$\lambda_{p,0}(D_2) \leq \lambda_p(D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon) + C Area(\partial D_\epsilon), \tag{1.16}$$

(3) Under the assumption of (2), there exists a constant C independent of ϵ such that

$$\mu_p(D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon) \leq C diam^{n-2}(D_\epsilon). \tag{1.17}$$

We can see from (1.15) and (1.16) that as D_ϵ goes to vanishing, $\lambda(D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon)$ converges to the Dirichlet eigenvalue of D_2. Thus by taking a limit, we see that Theorem 1.3, and Theorem 1.5, and Theorem 1.7 generalize the classic Faber-Krahn-type inequality and Cheng-type eigenvalue comparison theorems.

For $n > 2$, we see from (1.17) that if D_ϵ goes to vanishing as $\mathrm{diam}(D_\epsilon) \to 0$, $\mu_p(D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon)$ converges to zero which is the 1st Neumann eigenvalue of D_2.

The organization of the paper is as follows. In section 2, we set up the notations and comparison theorems on manifolds, and we give basic properties of eigenfunctions in space forms. In section 3, we prove a Faber-Krahn-type inequality. In section 4 and 5, we prove some Cheng-type comparison theorems on manifolds and an eigenvalue comparison inequality on minimal manifolds in Euclidean space. In the last section, we derive an estimate of the eigenvalues in terms of the standard Dircihlet (Neumann) eigenvalues, and we prove the sharpness of the estimates.

2. Eigenfunctions on space forms and comparison results

2.1. **Notations.** One of this purposes of this paper is to give some comparison theorems on Riemannian manifolds of the $p-$Laplace operator. For the sake of convenience, we start by setting up the notations here.

On Riemannian manifold (M^n, g), we denote by $B(o, r)$ the geodesic ball centered at o of radius r. It is convenient to use the polar coordinate (t, θ) at o. Here θ is the standard parametrization of $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. For $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$, $l(\theta)$ is so defined that the geodesic given by $\gamma(s) = \exp_o(s\theta)$ is minimizing up to $s = l(\theta)$. In terms of polar coordinates (t, θ), we write the volume element dx as

$$J(r, \theta) dr \wedge d\theta.$$

In the space form M^n_κ, the volume element $\bar{J}$ at x, which is $r(x)$ distance away from a fixed point $\bar{o}$, is given by $s^{n-1}_\kappa(r)$. Where

$$s_\kappa(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \sin \sqrt{\kappa} t, & \kappa > 0, \\ t, & \kappa = 0, \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\kappa|}} \sinh \sqrt{|\kappa|} t, & \kappa < 0; \end{cases} \qquad c_\kappa(t) = \begin{cases} \cos \sqrt{\kappa} t, & \kappa > 0, \\ 1, & \kappa = 0, \\ \cosh \sqrt{|\kappa|} t, & \kappa < 0. \end{cases}$$

We need the following well-known comparison theorem (see also [3, 8]), which will be used frequently to prove the theorems.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $B(o, R)$ be a geodesic ball centered at o of radius R in M.*

(1) *[Bishop] If the Ricci curvature tensor of M at any point x in $B(o, R)$ is bounded below by $(n-1)\kappa$. Then for any $\theta \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ and $0 < r \leq \min\{l(\theta), R\}$, the function $\frac{J(r,\theta)}{\bar{J}(r)}$ is a non-increasing function of r and $J(r, \theta) \leq \bar{J}(r)$.*

(2) *[Rauch] If $B(o, R)$ is an injective ball and the sectional curvature is bounded from above by κ, it then follows that the Hessian of the distance function $r(x) = d(o, x)$ satisfies that*

$$\mathrm{Hessian}(f_\kappa(r(o, x))) \geq c_\kappa(r(o, x)) \cdot \mathrm{id},$$

where

$$f_\kappa(r) = \int_0^r s_\kappa(t)\, dt = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\kappa}\left(1 - c_\kappa(r)\right), & \kappa \neq 0, \\ \frac{1}{2} r^2, & \kappa = 0. \end{cases}$$

In particular, the volume element $J(x)$ is bounded from below by $\bar{J}(r(x))$ and $\Delta r(x) \geq (n-1)\frac{c_\kappa(r(x))}{s_\kappa(r(x))}$. Then $\frac{J(r,\theta)}{\bar{J}(r)}$ is monotone non-decreasing.

2.2. **Eigenfunctions on space forms.** We denote by $\overline{B}(\bar{o},r)$ the geodesic ball of radius r in space form M^n_κ. It is known that the first normalized Dirichlet eigenfunction for $p-$Laplace operator is radial on $\overline{B}(\bar{o},r)$. This follows from the fact that the first eigenspace is simple [9, 15, 13] and that $\overline{B}(\bar{o},r)$ is radially symmetric. In this subsection, we prove similar property of Problem 1.1 and 1.2.

Let $0<r_1<r_2$. Assume further that $r_2<\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{\kappa}}$ if $\kappa>0$. For the simplicity, we denote $\lambda_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1))$ and $\mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1))$ by λ_p^* and μ_p^* respectively. By the exactly same reason, we observe that the eigenfunction, corresponding to either λ_p^* or μ_p^*, is radial. Thus we denote by $R(r(x))$ the normalized eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_p^* and by $Q(r(x))$ the normalized eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue μ_p^*. Where $r(x)$ is the distance function from $\bar{o}$. For R and Q, we have the following properties:

Proposition 2.2.
(1) $R^{'}(r)<0$ for $r\in(r_1,r_2]$. And $R(r)$ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$-(p-1)(-R^{'})^{p-2}R^{''}(r)-\frac{c_\kappa(r)}{s_\kappa(r)}(-R^{'})^{p-2}R^{'}(r)=\lambda_p^*R^{p-1}(r) \tag{2.1}$$

in (r_1,r_2) with boundary data $R^{'}(r_1)=0$ and $R(r_2)=0$.
(2) $Q^{'}(r)>0$ for $r\in[r_1,r_2)$. And $Q(r)$ satisfies the following differential equation:

$$-(p-1)(Q^{'})^{p-2}Q^{''}(r)-\frac{c_\kappa(r)}{s_\kappa(r)}(Q^{'})^{p-2}Q^{'}(r)=\mu_p^*Q^{p-1}(r) \tag{2.2}$$

for $r\in(r_1,r_2)$ with boundary data $Q(r_1)=0$ and $Q^{'}(r_2)=0$.

Proof. First we prove (1). In polar coordinates, it follows from PDE (1.3) that

$$-(p-1)(-R^{'})^{p-2}R^{''}(r)-\frac{n-1}{r}(-R^{'})^{p-2}R^{'}(r)=\lambda_p^*R^{p-1}(r).$$

Now we are in position to show $R^{'}(r)<0$ for $r\in(r_1,r_2]$. Assume by contradiction that there exists $r_0\in(r_1,r_2]$ such that $R^{'}(r_0)=0$. Then we can define another testing function $\overline{R}$ on $\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)$ by

$$\overline{R}(r)=R(r),\ \text{for } r\in[r_0,r_2),$$

and

$$\overline{R}(r)=R(r_0),\ \text{for } r\in[r_1,r_0).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\int_{\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)}|\nabla\overline{R}(|x|)|^p}{\int_{\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)}|\overline{R}(|x|)|^p} &= \frac{\int_{\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_0)}|\nabla R(|x|)|^p}{\int_{\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)}|\overline{R}(|x|)|^p}\\ &< \frac{\int_{\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_0)}|\nabla R(|x|)|^p}{\int_{\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_0)}|R(|x|)|^p}\\ &= \lambda_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)).\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

Where last equality holds by using equality (2.1), and boundary condition that $R^{'}(r_0)=R(r_2)=0$. So we get a contradiction with the definition of $\lambda_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1))$.

To prove that $Q^{'}(r) > 0$ for $r \in [r_1, r_2)$, we assume by contradiction that there exists $r_0 \in (r_1, r_2)$ such that $Q^{'}(r_0) = 0$. On one hand from the equation (2.2) and boundary conditions $Q^{'}(r_0) = 0$ and $Q(r_1) = 0$, it follows that

$$\frac{\int_{\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_0)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)} |\nabla Q(|x|)|^p}{\int_{\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_0)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)} |Q(|x|)|^p} = \mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)),$$

which immediately implies

$$\mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_0)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)) \leq \mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1))$$

But on the other hand, it follows from the simlar argument as (2.3) that

$$\mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_0)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)) > \mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1))$$

which is a contradiction. Thus the proposition holds. □

In fact, $\lambda_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1))$ and $\mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1))$ are given by roots of some Bessel equations. We refer to Section 3.2 in [19] for further details.

3. Faber-Krahn inequality

In this section, we make use of the Schwarz spherical rearrangement argument (see also [3, 7, 8]) to prove Faber-Krahn-type inequalities. Suppose u is the normalized eigenfunction corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_p(\Omega)$. Let

$$a_0 = \max_{C(\overline{\Omega})} u > 0,$$

$$\Omega(t) = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) > t\},$$

and

$$\Gamma_t = \{x \in \Omega : u(x) = t\}.$$

Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.3.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. For $t \in [0, a_0]$, let $D^*(t)$ be the ball centered at the origin such that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(D^*(t)) = \mathrm{Vol}(\Omega(t)) + \mathrm{Vol}(D_1), \tag{3.1}$$

and define a radial function $h(x)$ on $\Omega^* = D^*(0)\setminus D^*(a_0)$ by

$$h(x) = \sup\{t : x \in D^*(t)\}.$$

Denote $\Omega^*(t) = \{x \in \Omega^* : v(x) > t\}$ and $\Gamma_t^* = \partial D^*(t)$. From the definition of $\Omega^*(t)$, we see that

$$\mathrm{Vol}(\Omega^*(t)) = \mathrm{Vol}(\Omega(t)).$$

Using the co-area formula (see for example Chapter IV in [3]), we rewrite the above identity as

$$\int_t^{a_0}\int_{\Gamma_r} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} dA_r dr = \int_t^{a_0}\int_{\Gamma_r^*} \frac{1}{|\nabla h|} dA_r^* dr,$$

where dA_r and dA_r^* denote the area element of Γ_r and Γ_r^* respectively. Taking the derivative of t yields

$$\int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} dA_t = \int_{\Gamma_t^*} \frac{1}{|\nabla h|} dA_t^*. \tag{3.2}$$

Using the co-area formula again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\Omega u^p dx &= \int_0^{a_0} t^p \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} dA_t dt \\ &= \int_0^{a_0} t^p \int_{\Gamma_t^*} \frac{1}{|\nabla h|} dA_t^* dt \\ &= \int_{\Omega^*} h^p dx. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

From (3.1), the isoperimetric inequality then gives that

$$\text{Area}(\Gamma_t^*) \leq \text{Area}(\Gamma_t). \tag{3.4}$$

Using holder inequality, we have

$$\text{Area}(\Gamma_t) = \int_{\Gamma_t} dA_t \leq (\int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} dA_t)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} (\int_{\Gamma_t} |\nabla u|^p dA_t)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}. \tag{3.5}$$

Since v is a radial function, then we have the following equality

$$\text{Area}(\Gamma_t^*) = \int_{\Gamma_t^*} dA_t^* = (\int_{\Gamma_t^*} \frac{1}{|\nabla h|} dA_t^*)^{\frac{p}{p+1}} (\int_{\Gamma_t^*} |\nabla h|^p dA_t^*)^{\frac{1}{p+1}}. \tag{3.6}$$

Putting (3.2), (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) together, we obtain

$$\int_{\Gamma_t^*} |\nabla h|^p dA_t^* \leq \int_{\Gamma_t} |\nabla u|^p dA_t,$$

which gives

$$\int_{\Omega^*} |\nabla h|^p dx \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx. \tag{3.7}$$

Hence by (3.3) and (3.7), we get

$$\lambda_p(\Omega^*) \leq \frac{\int_{\Omega^*} |\nabla h|^p dx}{\int_{\Omega^*} h^p dx} \leq \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p dx}{\int_\Omega u^p dx} = \lambda_p(\Omega).$$

When equality holds, we see from (3.4) that Γ_t is a round sphere for each t. Hence Ω is isometric to Ω^*.

It follows from the same argument as above that

$$\mu_p(\Omega^*) \leq \mu_p(\Omega).$$

We omit the details here. □

Berard and Meyer [18] proved a Faber-Krahn inequality for the first nonzero Dirichlet eigenvalue for the Laplacian on bounded domains in a Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature positive. The result was later generalized to the p-Laplacian by Matei [9]. Here we use the Schwarz spherical rearrangement and Gromov's isoperimetric inequality (see [16, 17]) to give a similar Faber-Krahn inequality as in [9]. The proof uses same idea as that of Theorem 1.3. For the completeness, we give the details.

Proof of Theorem 1.5. For $t \in [0, a_0]$, let $\widetilde{D}(t)$ be the ball in $\mathbb{S}^n$ centered at the origin $\bar{o}$ such that

$$\text{Vol}(\widetilde{D}(t)) = \beta(\text{Vol}(\Omega(t)) + \text{Vol}(D_1)), \tag{3.8}$$

and define a radial function $h(x)$ on $\widetilde{\Omega} = \widetilde{D}(0) \setminus \widetilde{D}(a_0)$ by

$$h(x) = \sup\{t : x \in \widetilde{D}(t)\}.$$

Let $\widetilde{\Omega}(t) = \{x \in \widetilde{\Omega} : v(x) > t\}$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}_t = \partial\widetilde{D}(t)$. Then using the co-area formula again, similar argument as in (3.2) shows

$$\beta \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} dA_t = \int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_t} \frac{1}{|\nabla h|} d\widetilde{A}_t. \tag{3.9}$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\beta \int_{\Omega} u^p = \beta \int_0^{a_0} t^p \int_{\Gamma_t} \frac{1}{|\nabla u|} = \int_{\widetilde{\Omega}} h^p. \tag{3.10}$$

Since (3.8) holds, Gromov's isoperimetric inequality [16, 17] then gives that

$$\beta \mathrm{Area}(\Gamma_t) \geq \mathrm{Area}(\widetilde{\Gamma}_t). \tag{3.11}$$

Therefore, we get similarly as (3.7) that

$$\int_{\widetilde{\Gamma}_t} |\nabla h|^p d\widetilde{A}_t \leq \beta \int_{\Gamma_t} |\nabla u|^p d\widetilde{A}_t,$$

which gives

$$\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}} |\nabla h|^p \leq \beta \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^p. \tag{3.12}$$

Hence by (3.10) and (3.12), we get

$$\lambda_p(\Omega) = \frac{\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p}{\int_\Omega u^p} \geq \frac{\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}} |\nabla h|^p}{\int_{\widetilde{\Omega}} h^p} \geq \lambda_p(\widetilde{\Omega}),$$

which proves (1.7). Similar proof shows (1.8). This completes the proof of Theorem 1.5. □

4. Cheng-type comparison theorems

In this section, we combine Cheng's argument of transplanting and applying the volume comparison theorem (Theorem 2.1) to prove Cheng-type eigenvalue comparison theorems.

Proof of (1.9). For $0 < r_1 < r_2$, denote by u_0 the normalized eigenfunction with respect to eigenvalue $\lambda_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o}, r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(\bar{o}, r_1))$. For the sake of convenience, we denote $\lambda_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o}, r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(\bar{o}, r_1))$ by λ_p^*. Recall from (1) of Proposition 2.2 that, $u_0 = R(|x|)$ is a radial function satisfying

$$-\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\nabla u_0) = \lambda_p^* |u_0|^{p-2} u_0$$

for $r \in (r_1, r_2)$ and boundary data $R'(r_1) = 0$, $R(r_2) = 0$, and $R'(r) < 0$ for $r \in (r_1, r_2]$.

We define a trial function $u(x)$ on $B(o, r_2) \setminus B(o, r_1)$ as

$$u(x) = R(d(x)), \tag{4.1}$$

where $d(x)$ is the distance function from o in M.

To prove (1.9), one just need to show that for the testing function u above

$$\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)} |\nabla u|^p dx \leq \lambda_p^* \int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)} |u|^p dx.$$

Observe that

$$J(r,\theta)\nabla u = \nabla(\frac{Ju}{J_\kappa})J_\kappa - \frac{\nabla J}{J_\kappa}J_\kappa u + \frac{\nabla J_\kappa}{J_\kappa}Ju, \tag{4.2}$$

then we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)}|\nabla u|^p dx &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{\min\{r_1,l(\theta)\}}^{\min\{r_2,l(\theta)\}}|\nabla u|^p J(r,\theta)drd\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{\min\{r_1,l(\theta)\}}^{\min\{r_2,l(\theta)\}}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u,\nabla(\frac{Ju}{J_\kappa})\rangle J_\kappa drd\theta \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{\min\{r_1,l(\theta)\}}^{\min\{r_2,l(\theta)\}}|\nabla u|^{p-2}[-u_r(\frac{\partial_r J}{J}-\frac{J_\kappa^{'}}{J_\kappa})]uJdrd\theta. \end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

Where $l(\theta)$ is defined in Section 2. By the assumption on the Ricci curvature $\mathrm{Ric}_M \geq (n-1)\kappa$, Bishop comparison theorem ((1) of Theorem 2.1) gives that

$$\frac{\partial_r J(r,\theta)}{J(r,\theta)} \leq \frac{J_\kappa^{'}}{J_\kappa}. \tag{4.4}$$

Combing with $u_r = R^{'}(r) < 0$, we then see

$$-u_r(\frac{\partial_r J}{J}-\frac{J_\kappa^{'}}{J_\kappa}) \leq 0. \tag{4.5}$$

It follows from (4.4) and (4.5) that

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)}|\nabla u|^p dx &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{\min\{r_1,l(\theta)\}}^{\min\{r_2,l(\theta)\}}|\nabla u|^p J(r,\theta)drd\theta \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{\min\{r_1,l(\theta)\}}^{\min\{r_2,l(\theta)\}}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u,\nabla(\frac{Ju}{J_\kappa})\rangle J_\kappa drd\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{\min\{r_1,l(\theta)\}}^{\min\{r_2,l(\theta)\}}|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u_0,\nabla(\frac{Ju_0}{J_\kappa})\rangle J_\kappa drd\theta \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{\min\{r_1,l(\theta)\}}^{\min\{r_2,l(\theta)\}}-\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\nabla u_0)\frac{Ju_0}{J_\kappa}J_\kappa drd\theta \\ &\quad + \int_{\{\theta:r_1<l(\theta)<r_2\}}|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial r}(l(\theta),\theta)u_0(l(\theta),\theta)J(l(\theta),\theta)d\theta. \end{aligned}$$

From Proposition 2.2, it follows that for $l(\theta)\in(r_1,r_2)$

$$\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial r}(l(\theta),\theta) = R^{'}(l(\theta)) \leq 0,$$

which immediately gives

$$\begin{aligned}\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)}|\nabla u|^p dx &\leq \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}}\int_{\min\{r_1,l(\theta)\}}^{\min\{r_2,l(\theta)\}}-\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\nabla u_0)\frac{Ju_0}{J_\kappa}J_\kappa drd\theta \\ &= \lambda_p^*\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)}|u|^p dx. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim, hence inequality (1.9). When equality holds, from (4.4) we know that $\frac{\partial_r J(r,\theta)}{J(r,\theta)} = \frac{J_\kappa^{'}}{J_\kappa}$. Hence we get that $B(o,r_2)$ is isometric to $\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)$ (see also [3, 8]). □

Proof of (1.10). Now we assume that sectional curvature of M is bounded from above by κ, then the Rauch's comparison theorem ((2) of Theorem 2.1) gives that

$$\frac{\partial_r J(r,\theta)}{J(r,\theta)} \geq \frac{J_\kappa^{'}}{J_\kappa}. \tag{4.6}$$

To prove the theorem, we claim firstly that for u given by (4.1)

$$-\mathrm{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) \geq \lambda_p^* u^{p-1}$$

in $B(o,r_2)\backslash B(o,r_1)$ in the sense that: for any nonnegative function $\eta \in W^{1,p}(B(o,r_2)\backslash B(o,r_1))$ with $\eta = 0$ on $\partial B(o,r_2)$,

$$\int_{B(o,r_2)\backslash B(o,r_1)} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u, \nabla \eta\rangle dx \geq \lambda_p^* \int_{B(o,r_2)\backslash B(o,r_1)} |u_0|^{p-1}\eta dx. \tag{4.7}$$

To prove (4.7), using (4.2) again, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(o,r_2)\backslash B(o,r_1)} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u, \nabla \eta\rangle dx &= \int_{r_1}^{r_2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u, \nabla \eta\rangle J(r,\theta) d\theta dr \\
&= \int_{r_1}^{r_2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u, \nabla (\frac{J\eta}{J_\kappa})\rangle J_\kappa d\theta dr \\
&\quad + \int_{r_1}^{r_2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^{p-2}[-u_r(\frac{\partial_r J}{J} - \frac{J_\kappa^{'}}{J_\kappa})]\eta J d\theta dr \\
&\geq \int_{r_1}^{r_2}\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u, \nabla (\frac{J\eta}{J_\kappa})\rangle J_\kappa d\theta dr \\
&= \int_{\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\backslash \overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)} |\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\langle \nabla u_0, \nabla (\frac{J\eta}{J_\kappa})\rangle d\bar{x} \\
&= \lambda_p^* \int_{\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\backslash \overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)} |u_0|^{p-1}\eta \frac{J}{J_\kappa} d\bar{x} \\
&= \lambda_p^* \int_{B(o,r_2)\backslash B(o,r_1)} |u_0|^{p-1}\eta dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used that (4.6) and $\frac{\partial}{\partial r}u = R^{'}(r) < 0$ in the inequality. Here and thereafter we denote by $d\bar{x}$ the volume element in space form M_κ^n , which means

$$d\bar{x} = \bar{J}(r) dr d\theta.$$

Thus we proved (4.7), hence the claim.

Let $v(x)$ be the normalized eigenfunction with respect to eigenvalue $\lambda_p(B(o,r_2)\backslash B(o,r_1))$ and choose a testing function as

$$\eta(x) = \frac{v^p}{u^{p-1}},$$

which is a nonnegative function in $W^{1,p}(B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1))$ with $\eta=0$ on ∂D_2 (e.g. Appendix A in [6]). Substituting $\eta(x)$ to inequality (4.7), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_p^*\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)} v^p dx &\leq \int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u, \nabla\frac{v^p}{u^{p-1}}\rangle dx\\ &= -(p-1)\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)} |\nabla u|^p\frac{v^p}{u^p}dx\\ &\quad +p\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)} |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u,\nabla v\rangle\frac{v^{p-1}}{u^{p-1}}dx. \qquad (4.8)\end{aligned}$$

Using Young inequality $a^{p-1}b\leq\frac{p-1}{p}a^p+\frac{1}{p}b^p$ with $a=\frac{v}{u}|\nabla u|$ and $b=|\nabla v|$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)}|\nabla g|^p dx+(p-1)\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)}|\nabla u|^p\frac{v^p}{u^p}dx\\ &\geq p\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)}|\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u,\nabla v\rangle\frac{v^{p-1}}{u^{p-1}}dx. \qquad (4.9)\end{aligned}$$

Putting (4.8) and (4.9) together, we conclude that

$$\lambda_p^*\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)} v^p dx\leq\int_{B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1)}|\nabla v|^p dx,$$

which gives (1.10). □

For the eigenvalue μ_p, we use a similar trial function $u(x)=Q(|x|)$, where Q is defined in Proposition 2.2. Here $Q^{'}(r)>0$ for $r\in[r_1,r_2)$, so we have that if $\mathrm{Ric}_M\geq(n-1)\kappa$,

$$\mu_p(B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1))\leq\mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1));$$

and if $K_M\leq\kappa$, we have

$$\mu_p(B(o,r_2)\setminus B(o,r_1))\geq\mu_p(\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)).$$

5. An Eigenvalue comparison inequality on minimal manifolds

In this section, we assume that M^n is a minimally immersed manifold of $\mathbb{R}^{n+l}$, $l>1$.

For any given $o\in M^n$, we denote by $d_o(x)=|o-x|$ the distance function in $\mathbb{R}^{n+l}$. Denote by ∇ and $\triangle$ the covariant derivative and Laplace operator on M^n respectively. In this section, we only consider the Laplace operator. A comparison inequality of Dirichlet eigenvalue was proved by Cheng, Li and Yau (see Corollary 3) in [2]. Now we use Cheng's argument of transplanting to prove the analogous inequality for μ_2. We observe that (see e.g. [2]) by minimality of M in $\mathbb{R}^{n+l}$,

$$\triangle d_o^2(x)=2n, \qquad (5.1)$$

for any $o,x\in M$. Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.8.

Proof of Theorem 1.8. Recall that $D(o,r)=\{x\in M, d_o(x)<r\}$. Define a trial function $u(x)$ on $D(o,r_2)\setminus D(o,r_1)$ by

$$u(x)=Q(d_o(x)),$$

where $Q(x)$ is the normalized eigenfunction for $\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_2)\setminus\overline{B}(\bar{o},r_1)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$, characterized in Proposition 2.2.

We compute by using (5.1) that

$$\begin{aligned} \triangle u(x) &= Q^{''}(d_o(x))|\nabla d_o(x)|^2 + Q^{'}(d_o(x))\triangle d_o(x) \\ &= (Q^{''} - \frac{Q^{'}}{d_o(x)})|\nabla d_o(x)|^2 + n\frac{Q^{'}}{d_o(x)}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

Now we claim that $Q^{''} - \frac{Q^{'}}{r} \leq 0$ for $r \in (r_1, r_2)$. Then from the fact $|\nabla d| \leq 1$, we deduce that

$$\triangle u(x) \geq (Q^{''} - \frac{Q^{'}}{d_o(x)}) + n\frac{Q^{'}}{d_o(x)} = -\mu_2(\overline{B}(\bar{o}, r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(\bar{o}, r_2))u(x), \tag{5.3}$$

where we used equality (2.2) for the case $p = 2$. Then we conclude that

$$\mu_2(D(o, r_2) \setminus D(o, r_1)) \leq \mu_2(\overline{B}(\bar{o}, r_2) \setminus \overline{B}(\bar{o}, r_2)),$$

which proves the theorem. When equality holds, we see that $|\nabla d_o| = 1$. Combing with (5.1), we get that $D(o, r_2) \setminus D(o, r_1)$ is totally in $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Now we prove the claim. Denote $Q^{''} - \frac{Q^{'}}{r}$ by $f(r)$. First notice that

$$f(r_1) = -\frac{n}{r_1}Q^{'}(r_1) < 0.$$

If $f(t) \geq 0$, that gives $-\mu_2^* Q \geq \frac{n}{t}Q^{'}$. Then we compute

$$\begin{aligned} f^{'}(t) &= -\mu_2^* Q^{'} + \frac{n}{t^2}Q^{'} - \frac{n}{t}Q^{''} \\ &= -\mu_2^* Q^{'} + \frac{n}{t^2}Q^{'} + \frac{n}{t}(\frac{n-1}{t}Q^{'} + \mu_2^* Q) \\ &\leq -\mu_2^* Q^{'} \\ &\leq 0, \end{aligned}$$

which yields $f(r) \leq 0$. This proves the claim, hence the theorem. □

6. Proof of Theorem 1.9

Let $D_\epsilon \subset\subset D_2$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. In this section, we denote by $\lambda_p(\epsilon)$ and $\mu_p(\epsilon)$ the eigenvalue $\lambda_p(D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon)$ and $\mu_p(D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon)$ respectively. Now we are going to prove Theorem 1.9.

6.1. **Proof of estimate (1.15).** Let u_ϵ be the corresponding normalized eigenfunction of $D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon$ with eigenvalue $\lambda_p(\epsilon)$ of Problem 1.1 and D_ϵ goes to empty as $\epsilon \to 0$. Denote by u_0 the normalized eigenfunction on D_2 with respect to the 1st Dircichlet eigenvalue $\lambda_{p,0}$ defined in (1.14). It is well known that (see [12, 14])

$$|u_0|_{C^1(\overline{D_2})} \leq C,$$

where C is a constant independent of ϵ. Using u_0 as a trial function for λ_ϵ, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_p(\epsilon) &\leq \frac{\int_{D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon} |\nabla u_0|^p dx}{\int_{D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon} |u_0|^p dx} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{D_2} |\nabla u_0|^p dx}{\int_{D_2} |u_0|^p dx - \int_{D_\epsilon} |u_0|^p dx} \\ &\leq \frac{\lambda_0}{1 - C\ \mathrm{Vol}(D_\epsilon)} \\ &\leq \lambda_0 + C\ \mathrm{Vol}(D_\epsilon). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Where C is a constant which is independent of ϵ, although it changes from line to line.

6.2. **The uniform bound for u_ϵ.** To prove the lower bound of $\lambda_p(\epsilon)$, we need the upper bound estimate of u_ϵ. The key point is that the bound is independent of ϵ as $\epsilon \to 0$. More precisely, we prove the following lemma:

Lemma 6.1.

$$\max_{x\in\partial D_\epsilon} u_\epsilon \leq C, \tag{6.2}$$

where C is a constant which is independent of ϵ.

Proof of Lemma 6.1. Choose a fixed small ball $B_o(2\eta) \subset\subset D_2$ centered at o with radius 2η such that $D_\epsilon \subset B_o(\eta)$ and $\lambda_{p,0}(B_o(2\eta)) \geq \lambda_p(\epsilon) + 1$. This can be done due to the estimate (1.15).

Let $w(x)$ be the corresponding normalized Dirichlet eigenfunction. Define a function $h(x)$ in $B_o(2\eta) \setminus D_\epsilon$ by

$$h(x) = \frac{u_\epsilon}{w}.$$

First we claim that $h(x)$ can not attain the maximum in the interior of $B_o(\eta) \setminus D_\epsilon$. Assume by contradiction that $h(x)$ attains a maximum at $x_0 \in B_o(\eta) \setminus D_\epsilon$. Then at x_0 we have that

$$\frac{\nabla u_\epsilon}{u_\epsilon} = \frac{\nabla w}{w} \neq 0.$$

Thus in a small neighborhood of x_0, equation (1.3) is strictly elliptic. That means that in a neighborhood of x_0, u_ϵ is twice differentiable and satisfies

$$-\langle \nabla |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p-2}, \nabla u_\epsilon\rangle - |\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p-2} \triangle u_\epsilon = \lambda_p(\epsilon) u_\epsilon^{p-1}.$$

Using that $\nabla h(x_0) = 0$, we have that at x_0

$$-\mathrm{div}(|\nabla w|^{p-2}\nabla w)h - |\nabla w|^{p-2} w(\triangle h + (p-2)\nabla^2 h(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}, \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|})) = \lambda_p(\epsilon) h w^{p-1}.$$

Since $\lambda_{p,0}(B_o(2\eta)) > \lambda_p(\epsilon)$, then we have

$$\triangle h + (p-2)\nabla^2 h(\frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}, \frac{\nabla w}{|\nabla w|}) > 0,$$

which contradicts with that x_0 is a maximum point of h, and hence gives the claim.

Now we are using the maximum principle to prove the lemma. Let ν be the outward normal direction; normal to the boundary of D_ϵ. Then on ∂D_ϵ, we take the normal derivative of h and obtain that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} h = -\frac{h}{w}\frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} w = -\frac{h}{w}\langle \nu, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\rangle \frac{\partial}{\partial r} w > 0,$$

where the last inequality we used that the star-shapeness and w is a radial function satisfying $\frac{\partial}{\partial r} w < 0$ for $r > 0$ (see for example [9, 10]). Then we can conclude that h can not achieve the maximum on ∂D_ϵ, and therefore

$$\max_{\overline{B_o(\eta)\setminus D_\epsilon}} \frac{u_\epsilon}{w} \leq \max_{\partial B_o(\eta)} \frac{u_\epsilon}{w}. \tag{6.3}$$

The standard Moser's iteration argument (see for example Section 11 in [15]) gives that

$$\max_{\partial B_o(\eta)} u_\epsilon \leq C.$$

Therefore, we proved the lemma. □

6.3. **Proof of (1.16).** To prove (1.16), Similar computation as (4.8) and (4.9) shows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{p,0}\int_{D_2\setminus D_\epsilon} u_\epsilon^p dx &= -\int_{\partial D_\epsilon}\frac{u_\epsilon^p}{u_0^{p-1}}|\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\frac{\partial u_0}{\partial \nu}dA_\epsilon + \int_{D_2\setminus D_\epsilon}\langle\nabla\frac{u_\epsilon^{p-1}}{u_0^{p-1}}, |\nabla u_0|^{p-2}\nabla u_0\rangle dx\\
&\leq \int_{\partial D_\epsilon}\frac{u_\epsilon^p}{u_0^{p-1}}|\nabla u_0|^{p-1}dA_\epsilon + \int_{D_2\setminus D_\epsilon}|\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p-1}dx\\
&= O(Area(\partial D_\epsilon)) + \int_{D_2\setminus D_\epsilon}|\nabla u_\epsilon|^{p-1}dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the boundness of u_ϵ proved in Lemma 6.1. Then we get

$$\lambda_p(\epsilon) \geq \lambda_{p,0} - O(Area(\partial D_\epsilon)).$$

6.4. **Proof of the sharpness of (1.15).** Now we explain that inequality (1.15) is sharp in the decay rate of the volume of D_ϵ. By direct calculations, one can show the following variational formula for $\lambda_p(\epsilon)$ (see for example Section 6 in [5])

$$\dot{\lambda_p}(\epsilon) = \int_{\partial D_\epsilon}(|\nabla u_\epsilon|^p - \lambda_p(\epsilon)u_\epsilon^p)\langle X,\nu\rangle dA, \tag{6.4}$$

where u_ϵ is the normalized eigenfunction, $\lambda_p(\epsilon)$ is the eigenvalue of $D_2\setminus D_\epsilon$ and X is the variation field of ∂D_ϵ. We consider D_2 as the round unit ball centered at the origin and D_ϵ as the round ball centered at the origin with radius ϵ. We see from Proposition 2.1 that u_ϵ is radial with Neumann condition on ∂D_ϵ, and then $|\nabla u_\epsilon| = 0$ on ∂D_ϵ. Consequently, it follows from (6.4) that

$$\dot{\lambda}_p(\epsilon) = \int_{\partial D_\epsilon}(-\lambda_p(\epsilon)u_\epsilon^p)\langle X,\nu\rangle dA = O(\mathrm{Area}(\partial D_\epsilon)) = O(\epsilon^{n-1}).$$

Here in the second equality we used the lower bound of $\lambda_p(\epsilon)$ given in (1.16). Thus, by elementary calculus we have

$$\lambda_p(\epsilon) = \lambda_{p,0} + O(\epsilon^n) = \lambda_{p,0} + O(\mathrm{Vol}(D_\epsilon)),$$

which gives the sharpness of (1.15). Where we have used equality $\lambda_p(0) = \lambda_{p,0}$ which is deduced from estimates (1.15) and (1.16).

6.5. **Proof of estimate (1.17).** Lastly, we turn to prove that $\mu_p(\epsilon) := \mu_p(D_2\setminus D_\epsilon) \to 0$. Denote

$$E_\epsilon = \{x : \mathrm{dist}(x, D_\epsilon) < \eta(\epsilon)\},$$

where $\eta(\epsilon)$ is specified later. Define a trial function w on $D_2\setminus D_\epsilon$ by

$$w = \begin{cases} \mathrm{dist}(x, D_\epsilon), & x \in E_\epsilon\setminus D_\epsilon,\\ \eta(\epsilon), & x \in D_2\setminus E_\epsilon.\end{cases}$$

From the above definition of w, it follows trivially that

$$|\nabla w| \leq 1, \text{ for any } x \in E_\epsilon\setminus D_\epsilon,$$
$$|\nabla w| = 0, \text{ for any } x \in D_2\setminus E_\epsilon,$$

and $w \in W^{1,p}(D_2 \setminus D_\epsilon)$ with Dirichlet condition in ∂D_ϵ. We estimate $\mu_p(\epsilon)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_p(\epsilon) &\leq \frac{\int_{D_2\setminus D_\epsilon} |\nabla w|^p dx}{\int_{D_2\setminus D_\epsilon} |w|^p dx} \\ &\leq \frac{\int_{E_\epsilon\setminus D_\epsilon} |\nabla w|^p dx}{C\eta^2(\epsilon)} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(E_\epsilon \setminus D_\epsilon)}{C\eta^2(\epsilon)}. \end{aligned} \tag{6.5}$$

If we choose $\eta(\epsilon) = O(\mathrm{diam}(D_\epsilon))$, then (6.5) gives

$$\mu_p(\epsilon) \leq \frac{\mathrm{Vol}(E_\epsilon \setminus D_\epsilon)}{C\eta^2(\epsilon)} \leq \frac{\mathrm{diam}^n(D_\epsilon)}{C\ \mathrm{diam}^2(D_\epsilon)} = C\ \mathrm{diam}^{n-2}(D_\epsilon).$$

We complete the proof of Theorem 1.9.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to express his thanks to Professor L. Ni for brilliant guidance and suggestions. The work in this paper was done during a visit of the author to the University of California, San Diego (UCSD) in 2012–2014. The author also thanks the Department of Mathematics of UCSD for its hospitality.

References

1. S. Y. Cheng, *Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications*, Math. Z. **143** (1975), 289–297.
2. S. Y. Cheng, P. Li, and S. T. Yau, *Heat equations on minimal submanifolds and their applications*, Amer. J. Math. **106** (1984), no. 5, 1033–1065.
3. I. Chavel, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*, Academic Press, New York 1984.
4. L. C. Evans, *Partial Differential Equation*, American Mathematical Society, 1998.
5. D. Jerison and N. Nadirashvili, *The hot spots conjecture for domains with two axes of symmetry*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **13** (2000), no. 4, 741–772.
6. I. M. Singer, Bun Wong, Shing-Tung Yau, and Stephen S.-T. Yau, *An estimate of the gap of the first two eigenvalues in the Schrödinger operator*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci.(4) **12** (1985), no. 2, 319–333.
7. A. Henrot, *Minimization problems for eigenvalues of the Laplacian*, J. Evol. Equ. **3** (2003), 443–461.
8. T. Sakai, *Riemannian Geometry*, American Mathematical Society, 1996.
9. A.-M. Matei, *First eigenvalue for the p-Laplace operator*, Nonlin. Anal. **39** (2000), 1051–1068.
10. H. Takeuchi, *On the First Eigenvalue of the p-Laplacian in a Riemannian Manifold*, Tokyo J. math. **21** (1998), no. 1, 135–140.
11. P. Li, *Lecture notes on geometric analysis*, Lecture Notes Series, 6. Seoul National University, Seoul, 1993.
12. G. Barles, *Remarks on uniqueness results of the first eigenfunction of the p-Laplacian*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. **9** (1988), 65–75.
13. T. Bhattacharya, *Radial symmetry of the first eigenfunction for the p−Laplacian in the ball*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **104** (1988), no. 1, 169–174.
14. K. Uhlenbeck, *Regularity for a class of non-linear elliptic systems*, Acta Math. **138** (1977), 219–240.
15. P. Lindqvist, *On the eqution div*$(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) + \lambda|u|^{p-2}u = 0$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **109** (1990), no. 1, 157–164.
16. M. Gromov, *Paul Levy's Isoperimetric Inequality*, Publications IHES, 1980.
17. P. Berard, G. Besson and S. Gallot, *Sur une inégalité isopérimétrique qui généralise celle de Paul Lévy-Gromov*, Invent. Math. **80** (1985), 295–308.
18. P. Berard and D. Meyer, *Inégalités isopérimétriques et applications*, Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 4e Ser. t. **15** (1982), 513-542.

19. H.P.W. Gottlieb, *Eigenvalues of the Laplacian with Neumann boundary condtions*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B **26** (1985), 293–309.

School of Mathematic Sciences, Fudan University, ShangHai, 200433
E-mail address: kuiwang09@fudan.edu.cn